## **2C** Assessment

- 1. For each item, fill in the circle on the 3-point scale from left-side statement to right-side statement to indicate where the activity sits on the scale.
- 2. Count the filled in circles of each column and write the total number for each section.
- 3. Copy over the score for each section to the final score sheet.
- 4. Use the final score sheet to reflect on the scalability of the activity.

## STEP 1: ASSESS THE ACTIVITY

NAME OF ACTIVITY

A. How credible is the activity package?	
A1. Evidence base	A lot of or sound evidence ← ← ← Little or no solid evidence
A2. Evaluation	Independent external evaluation ← → → No internal evaluation
A3. Diverse contexts	Substantial evidence that it works in diverse context ← ← Little or no evidence that it works in diverse contexts
A4. Expert judgment	Supported by eminent individuals or institutions $\longleftrightarrow$ Few or no eminent individuals or institutions support it
A5. Visible, attributable impact	Decision-makers see impact and link to activity ————————————————————————————————————
	Subtotals <

B. Does the activity have relative advantage over existing practices?		
B1. Other solutions	Current solution(s) are adequate $\leftarrow \bigcirc -\bigcirc -\bigcirc \rightarrow$ Little or no evidence it is superior to other solutions	
B2. Evidence it is more effective	Solid evidence it is superior to current activity ————————————————————————————————————	
B3. Cost Effective	More cost effective than existing practices $\leftarrow \bigcirc -\bigcirc -\bigcirc \rightarrow$ Less cost-effective than existing practices	
Subtotals $\leftarrow\!$		
C. How strong is support for the activity?		
C1. Urgency	Strong sense of urgency regarding the problem or need $\leftarrow \bigcirc - \bigcirc - \bigcirc \rightarrow$ Relative complacency	
C2. Leadership commitment	Strong leadership committed to activity $\longleftrightarrow$ Weak or divided leadership committed to activity	

Subtotals	←○-	 

Addresses a felt need, problem or policy priority ← ○

The problem is significant and persistent  $\leftarrow \bigcirc -\bigcirc -\bigcirc \rightarrow$  The problem affects few people or has limited impact

Addresses an issue that is high policy priority  $\longleftrightarrow$  Addresses an issue that is low on the policy agenda

Faces limited opposition ← ← ← Faces strong opposition

C3. Significance of problem

C4. Policy priority

C5. Opposition

C6. Felt Need

Does not addresses a felt need, problem or policy priority

D. How easy is the activity to transfer and adopt?		
D1. Consistent with policy	Fully consistent with government and organizational Policies Requires substantial change in government and policies	
D2. Fits with existing systems	Can use existing systems, infrastructure, and human resources Needs significant new or additional systems, infrastructure, or human resources	
D3. Operational & resource capacity	Implementing organization(s) have the capacity to implement at scale No implementing organization(s) have the capacity	
D4. Agreement to adoption	Few decision-makers were involved ← → → Many decision-makers were involved	
D5. Diversity of the problem	Homogeneous problem, target group and setting ← → → Multiple, diverse contexts	
D6. Replicability	Activity is a clear and straight-forward technology ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ←	
D7. Level of complexity	Low complexity; few components ← → → High complexity; many components—integrated package	
D8. Maintaining quality	Activity is self-regulating $\longleftrightarrow$ Activity requires substantial supervision and monitoring	
D9. Limited testability	Able to be tested by implementers on a limited scale	
D10. Degree of change	Activity requires a small departure from current norms, practices and resources. Activity requires a large departure from current norms, practices and resources.	
Subtotals $\leftarrow$ $\rightarrow$ $\rightarrow$		

E. How good is the fit with the implementing organization?			
E1. Compatibility	Practice is compatible with current values or services of the implementing organization.  Practice is not compatible with current values or services of the implementing organization.		
E2. Built-in support for activity	Leadership team, organizational norms and incentives  align with activity   Major changes needed in leadership, organizational norms, and incentives		
E3. Support of staff	Demonstrable support among staff ← → → → Active resistance by staff		
E4. Adaptive capacity	History and culture of iterative learning and evidence-based evidence-based decision-making		
E5. Scale-up experience	Has experience with a systematic scale-up process ← → Lacks experience with a systematic scale-up process		
E6. Values	Easy to maintain the values of the practice during expansion.  Difficult to maintain the values of the practice during expansion.		
E7. Degrees of adaptation	Limited adaptions needed to be relevant for changes in context Significant adaptions needed to be relevant for changes in context.		
Subtotals <			
F. Is there a sustainable sour	ce of funding?		
F1. Additional Resources	Requires limited additional human or financial resources or commodities		
F2. Startup funds	Requires small commitment of funds to begin ← ← Requires large commitment of funds to begin		
F3. Internal funding	Financed by internal funding (e.g., user fees) or endowment $\leftarrow \bigcirc -\bigcirc -\bigcirc \rightarrow $ No internal funding, requires substantial external funds		
	Subtotals <		

## STEP 2: REFLECT ON SCALABILITY

Bring over the subtotals from the previous pages to help you determine the overall scalability.

NAME OF ACTIVITY

RESULTS FROM ASSESSMENT			
SCALABILITY FACTOR	TOTAL FROM EACH COLUMN  EASIER TO SCALE HARDER TO SCALE		
A. CREDIBILITY OF THE ACTIVITY	+ + = 5		
B. RELATIVE ADVANTAGE OVER EXISTING STRATEGIES			
C. SUPPORT FOR THE ACTIVITY	+ +		
D. EASE OF TRANSFER AND ADAPTATION	+ + = 10		
E. IMPLEMENTING ORGANIZATION FIT	←○- + -○- + -○→ = <b>7</b>		
F. FUNDING SCALABILITY	+ + = 3		

NATIONAL COORDINATION MECHANISM THOUGHTS			
HOW STRONG IS THE ACTIVITY IN TERMS OF SCALABILITY?	HOW MIGHT THIS ACTIVITY BE STRENGTHENED FOR SCALABILITY?		
STRONG MODERATE WEAK			

CONCLUSION: DOES THIS ACTIVITY HAVE STRONG SCALE-UP POTENTIAL?	○ Yes	Yes, with modification	○ No
Justification:			